UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,279	03/03/2004	Theodor Stern	26041	8931
20529 THE NATH LA	7590 04/24/200 AW GROUP		EXAMINER	
112 South West	Street		VAKILI, ZOHREH	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/791,279	STERN ET AL.				
microlew Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ZOHREH VAKILI	1614				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ZOHREH VAKILI</u> .	(3) <u>Susanne Hopkins</u> .					
(2) <u>ARDIN MARSCHEL</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 March 2008</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Kluger et al. (US Pub. 2002/0045873 A1).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	J/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's discussed the merits of the rejection over the reference to Kluger et al. with the Examiners. Examiners provided clarification as to the grounds of the rejection and agreed to reconsider the rejections of record in view of Applicant's amendments and/or remarks. Applicants stated that they would consider filing an amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Zohreh Vakili/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614						

Application No.

Applicant(s)